

Construction Leadership Council
Steering Co-Ordination Group
8th Meeting, Thursday 11 March 2021 15:00-16:00

CLC-SCG/No8/2021/M8 - Minutes

Attendees:

- Andy Mitchell, (Thames Tideway) – chair
- Ann Bentley, (Rider Levett Bucknall)
- Brian Berry, (FMB)
- Suzannah Nichol, (BuildUK)
- Simon Rawlinson, (Arcadis)
- Alasdair Reisner, (CECA)
- Mark Reynolds, (Mace)
- Hannah Vickers, (ACE)

Apologies:

- Fergus Harradence, (BEIS)

Secretariat:

- Stuart Young, (BEIS)
- Peter Payne, (BEIS)

Present by Invitation:

- Celine Van-Dooren, (PwC)

- **SAG Feedback**

- Lot of strong support for CO₂nstruct Zero and Building Safety with questions around visibility of detail;
- Recognition there is a style and approach the CLC are taking – not doing new things but signposting, co-ordinating and sharing common ideas;
- The comms being produced were liked and content to cascade it – but not create it. May be a good idea to add comms to the PMO function.
- View from the SAG was that there was a lot going on but to keep it up. This raised the second part of the question on how to secure the time, effort and money needed - possibly taking away Covid from the Task Force and carry on in the same way? **Andy will write to the SAG along the lines of 'strategically where does the group go post-Covid and does the broad nature of the CLC carry on as is?'**

- SCG members found the SAG meeting more positive because of the 'big ticket' items that colleagues could get into and not get lost in the detail; an enthusiasm from SAG for getting more structure but a recognition industry must feel they are participating and not just being told what to do. CLC needs to be clear on what its ask is -what is it, what is it going to do/achieve and what is it going to cost;
 - SCG members are interested to see SAG ideas about accessing funding – opt-in funding or a levy but recognition that would create a feeling of heightened influence by some organisations. More open to funding from grant or charity funding possibly.
 - Some SCG members thought the SAG might be too big a group but accept that it needs to be representative, so this is seen as unavoidable.
 - SAG should be used to sense-check but not an open forum. Give SAG specific items to support CLC
- **CO₂nstruct Zero** - Hannah & Stuart
 - SAG validation showed it is on the right lines and that it should keep going;
 - Together with Building Safety, CO₂nstruct Zero should be one of two things that we should be delivering this year (Building Safety the other). SCG saw no mileage on focussing on the other 6 verticals at SAG meetings – they suggested they could be left to put out their guides and advice whilst BS and CO₂nstruct Zero took the focus of effort.
- **6 Key Risks**
 - Celine presented the top 6 risks, in order of priority after talking to leads and Andy. Does the SCG agree that these are the top 6 risks? And how would we keep everyone engaged if we focus on a couple of items?
 - Initial SCG thoughts specifically around 'CLC's Transition'–
 - i) Is it right to have it on there and are we live to the feedback received. Andy asked SCG to think about a SWOT analysis (**Action:** send SWOTs to Celine).
 - ii) Should it be so highly placed?
 - iii) SCG being pulled back to their day jobs was noted as a significant risk
 - iv) Emphasise the great help that government engagement has been. Maybe a letter saying this to Government? Alasdair has a video and report in train, initially for CLC members that can be easily repurposed. Looking to finalise this in April.
 - v) **Simon** will think about a way forward around the one year on publicity with his Arcadis comms team.